

# Risk and Failure Probability, What is it?

## Terminology

---

1. **Risk** is the:
  - a. Potential of losing something of value (e.g., life, property, performance, schedule, or cost).
  - b. Effect of uncertainty on objectives. (Ref. ISO 31000, 2009)
2. A **risk statement** contains three elements (e.g., as in three columns in a table), namely:
  - a. Scenario, what can go wrong?
  - b. Likelihood, what is the probability it will happen?
  - c. Consequence, what is the impact if it did happen?
3. **Reliability** is the:
  - a. Likelihood
  - b. An item will perform its intended function
  - c. For a stated mission time
  - d. Under stated conditions.

## Risk vs. Reliability

---

1. The probability used in:
  - a. Risk is the **probability of failure**, denoted  $Pf$ , for the item of interest.
  - b. Reliability is the **probability of success**, denoted  $Ps$ , for the item of interest.
2. Fundamental math rule:  $Pf + Ps = 1$ .
3. When one type of probability is known, the other type can be easily determined by its complement.
4. Furthermore, the complement of the reliability measure makes the **likelihood axis of the risk matrix**, and the complement of safety makes the **consequence axis of the risk matrix**.

## Types of Data and Methods Commonly Used to Make a Probability of Failure

---

1. **Demand-based (pass-fail events)**: For example, item x (e.g., starter solenoid) successfully completed its mission upon demand. A data set consisting of items with this type of **discrete** life data with independent trials is often modeled with the *binomial* distribution using the probability of failure ( $p$ ) where:
  - a.  $p = \frac{\text{failure count}}{\text{total number of attempts}}$  based on classical statistics.
  - b.  $p = \frac{\text{failure count}+0.5}{\text{total number of attempts}+1}$  based on one version of Bayesian statistics (see next page).
2. **Time-based (duration in hours, cycles, miles)**: For example, item (e.g., tire) uniquely identified as x operated successfully for y hours under conditions z until it failed. In short, item x failed in y hours (z is not needed if the same for all items in the data set). A data set consisting of items with this type of **continuous** life data is often modeled with the *Weibull* probability distribution. A special case of the Weibull is when the failure rate is constant over time. Constant failure rate ( $\lambda$ ) can be calculated using:
  - a.  $\lambda = \frac{\text{failure count}}{\text{total run time}}$  based on classical statistics.
  - b.  $\lambda = \frac{\text{failure count}+0.5}{\text{total run time}}$  based on one version of Bayesian statistics (see next page).
3. **Failure due to variation**: In this case, item x failed not as a function of time but due to **static stress**. That is, the item failed because its stress (load) exceeded its strength (capacity). The *Stress-Strength Interference* method calculates the probability of failure being the area described by the intersection of the stress distribution and the strength distribution. Note: A **safety factor** or safety margin are not sufficient to address failures due to the variation in the item's stress and the strength!

# Risk and Failure Probability, What is it?

## Failure Rate Formulas Based on Bayesian Statistics<sup>1</sup>

Data Type	Demand Based (failure on demand)	Time Based (failure while operating)
Failure Rate Formula <sup>2,3</sup>	$p = \frac{\text{failure count} + 0.5}{\text{total number of attempts} + 1}$	$\lambda = \frac{\text{failure count} + 0.5}{\text{total run time}}$
Prior Distribution <sup>4</sup>	Beta distribution with $\alpha_{\text{prior}} = 0.5$ and $\beta_{\text{prior}} = 0.5$ being a Jeffreys Prior	Gamma distribution with $\alpha_{\text{prior}} = 0.5$ and $\beta_{\text{prior}} = 0$ being a Jeffreys Prior
Likelihood Function	Binomial distribution	Poisson distribution
Posterior Distribution <sup>5</sup>	Beta distribution with parameters $\alpha_{\text{post}} = x + \alpha_{\text{prior}}$ and $\beta_{\text{post}} = n - x + \beta_{\text{prior}}$ where x is failure count and n is number of demands. The mean of the beta distribution is $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$ .	Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha_{\text{post}} = x + \alpha_{\text{prior}}$ and $\beta_{\text{post}} = t + \beta_{\text{prior}}$ where x is failure count and t is total run time. The mean of the gamma distribution is $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ .
NASA PRA Guidebook <sup>6</sup>	Page C-6 (pdf page 363)	Page C-11 (pdf page 369)
NASA Handbook on Bayesian Inference <sup>7</sup>	Page 34 (pdf page 54)	Page 40 (pdf page 60)

### Endnotes:

<sup>1</sup> **Bayesian statistics** quantitatively combines human belief (a subjectively-based probability distribution) with operational or test data (an objectively-based probability distribution).

<sup>2</sup> When the *failure count is zero*, these two Bayesian-based formulas are commonly used.

<sup>3</sup> When the *failure count is zero* and the data type is time-based, one method in classical statistics calculates the failure rate using:  $\lambda = \frac{1/3}{\text{total run time}}$ .

<sup>4</sup> A **Jeffreys Prior** is used when there is insufficient information to form an informed prior distribution. Thus, the Jeffreys Prior is referred to as a noninformative prior and is intended to convey little prior belief or information. A **noninformative prior** allows the data (described by the likelihood function) to speak for themselves.

<sup>5</sup> A Bayesian-based failure-rate formula is the mean (average) of its posterior distribution. This mean is commonly called the point Bayes' estimate. A **posterior distribution** is derived from Bayes' Theorem (Bayes-Laplace Theorem). This Theorem uses a **prior distribution** (to represent the value of the failure rate as a belief or best estimate prior to collecting field data) and a **likelihood function** (the failure distribution for field data that was collected after the stated belief). The posterior distribution is shifted in the direction of the likelihood function that was used.

<sup>6</sup> Source: <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/codeq/doctree/SP20113421.pdf>

<sup>7</sup> Source: <http://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20090023159.pdf>